Message

From: Shea, Valois [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A4217A71307D4429B7BDC7C80EB40C7D-SHEA, VALOIS]

Sent: 3/15/2017 9:56:35 PM

To: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Subject: RE: Underground Injection Control (UIC) Area Permits to Powertech

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Thank you for emailing me your comments on the draft UIC Dewey-Burdock permitting actions. I have added your email to the list of public comments received. I have also added you to my contact list to keep you informed on future EPA actions related to the site.

Here is the link to the EPA UIC program website that contains all the information in the Administrative Record, in case you do not already have it:

https://www.epa.gov/uic/administrative-record-dewey-burdock-class-iii-and-class-v-injection-well-draft-area-permits

The public comment period is in effect through May 19, 2017, in case you have any additional comments after reviewing this information.

Thank you!

Valois

Valois Shea

U.S. EPA Region 8 MailCode: 8WP-SUI 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, CO 80202-1129 Fax: (303) 312-6741

Email: shea.valois@epa.gov

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Sent: Monday, March 13, 2017 11:02 AM **To:** Shea, Valois < Shea. Valois@epa.gov>

Subject: Underground Injection Control (UIC) Area Permits to Powertech

Concerning the potential proposal of permits for uranium injection control into an aquifer, the US and the EPA should be *PREVENTING* this level of environmental damage to not only our drinking water, but the entire ecosystem. I would like to vehemently voice my **opposition** to this proposed draft permit. INj, but the water and other natural resources this area provides. It's irresponsible to knowingly allow this level of damage to occur, but it also goes against the very name of the EPA. How can you 'protect' an environment when you're proposing a permit that allows for disposing of waste fluids in the process of uranium mining.

It's astonishing to me that this permit has even pushed to draft stage. As an agency that is supposed to work for the people, for the environment and for the protection of natural resources, this flies in the face of all three.

I strongly urge the **outright rejection** of this proposed draft, as it could threaten human life and wildlife for potentially many decades to come. It's astonishing to me that the EPA has failed the American public this quickly.

Desperately,

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)